UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,858	03/02/2005	Hiroyoshi Hidaka	8279.829USWO	5428
	7590 01/12/2007 nn Mueller & Larson P. C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX			GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V	
Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	•
SHORTENED STATUTOR'	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/526,858 HIDAKA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shirley V. Gembeh	1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THE STATE	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 O	ctober 2006.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
ے, ح	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		•				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4,9,15,16 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☑ Claim(s) <u> </u>						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,9,70,70 and 27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
<u> </u>) □ Claim(s) is/arc objected to: 3) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
• "	ion Papers	•	•	·			
_		. ,	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			J .1 02.			
<u> </u>							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	·		received in this National S	olay e			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•	oo ino allaonoa aolanoa omoo aolion ioi a not	or the contined copies hot	10001400.	•			
			•				
Attachmen	it(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	450\			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO- —.	· 1 32)			

DETAILED ACTION

The response filed **10/18/06** presents remarks and arguments to the office action mailed **4/19/06**. Applicants' request for reconsideration of the rejection of claims in the last office action has been considered.

Applicants' arguments, filed, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-4,9, 15-16 and 27 are pending and examined.

Claims 1, 9 and 27 are amended.

Claims 5-8, 10-14, 17-26 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-4,9, 15-16 and 27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidaka et al., US 5,972,976 in view of Goodman and Gilman, The Pharmacological Basis of therapeutics, and Ragaz et al., The new England J. of Med. (As in the office action of record).

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant argues that Hidaka fails to teach the compound with at least one of the antitumor agents cisplatin or carboplatin (see page 10 of remarks).

In response, the rejection is an obvious type, therefore the reference does not have to teach the combination, the Hidaka reference teaches the compound, and based on the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art, the compound is capable of being combined with the teaching of Goodman and Gilman. Next Adjuvant therapy is well known in the art, thus a motivation to combine. Cisplatin and carboplatin are drugs that are well known as antineoplastic agents as documented in Goodman and Gilman and have been used successful in adjuvant therapy, therefore nothing unobvious is seen in the combination of the compound of Hidaka and the teaching of Goodman and Gilman.

Next, Applicant argues that Table I of the specification compares the administration of the compound 2 alone and cisplatin alone with the survival rate of the combined effect.

In response, the Table I does not show the argument Applicant is trying to relay, for example, one set of data showed the compound administered together, while the other set showed administration on different days. With the example administration on the same day, no vast difference is seen with 2.5 of cisplatin and 25 of mg of compound 2. The same applies to the administration on different days. The only difference is the dosage increase of both the drugs when the cisplatin was administered (10 mg) first and the compound 2 (100 mg) was administered later the difference was greater than 500 T/C than when the compound was administered first and cisplatin administered later with the same dosage was 270 (T/C). Thus indicating that the the drug is not as

Application/Control Number: 10/526,858

Art Unit: 1614

effective as claimed and cast doubt on the effectiveness of the drug. Cisplatin in this case.

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See reasons above and the rejection is maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SVG 12/26/06

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER